

HEWLETT-PACKARD GENERAL SYSTEMS USERS GROUP

FEBRUARY, 1980 MEETING

FINANCIAL APPLICATIONS SERIES

BUDGETING AND PROFIT PLANNING ON THE HP3000

JACK DAMM

PRINCIPAL

THE PALO ALTO GROUP
SUNNYVALE, CALIF

(408) 735-8490

Good morning. Today I'm going to talk about budgeting and profit planning on the HP3000. This discussion will be organized around a specific example, but will not be limited to it. This is a very subjective discussion. There is certainly plenty of room for conflicting opinions. And there are many ways of putting together plans which are different from the example being presented here.

How many of you in the audience have the primary responsibility for budgeting or profit planning in your company?
How many of you are in your company's data processing department?
How many of you get no closer to profit planning than putting together your own budgets?

I hope that when this discussion is finished, that as a "D.P. person" you get a little better idea of what overall company planning is, and where your own budgeting and forecasting fits into it. As a "financial planner", I hope that you will leave with a better understanding of how the HP3000 can contribute to your own company's planning.

My discussion will proceed as follows: First, some generalities about planning. The use of the HP3000. A brief comparison of high-level financial planning languages versus manual or programmed models. Then I will discuss a typical plan.

First, a definition.

When I talk about planning, I will be speaking specifically about company (or corporate) planning. Product forecasting, departmental budgeting, and financial projections. Deciding where funds are to be spent, and analysing the impact of these expenditures.

There is a myth: That one can build a "model" of a company which can be mathematically manipulated to make "optimal" use of resources and maximize achievement of company goals. That this model needs to be complicated, and is incomprehensible to mere mortals. It is true that one could formulate a "model" of a company where the goal is to maximize profit or cash flow, with constraints involving debt-to-equity ratios, market penetration, production capacity, etc. But because of how little we actually know about the future, and how much uncertainty there is about it, to build a sophisticated model which "optimizes" the results of a business, is ridiculous. It would be fooling ourselves about how little we actually know.

There is reality: What planning really is, is sitting down and accepting that there is much uncertainty about the future. But at the same time, in order for us to be somewhat prepared for that uncertain future we must make assumptions. Best guesses about what may happen. One of the most important

results of the planning process is to get managers together and come to a general agreement as to how company goals are to be achieved. In a nutshell, planning is sitting down with marketing and production planning people and getting a "best guess" product forecast. Getting responsible managers to commit to realistic levels of spending within their departments. Create a plan of action which represents a generally agreed-upon approach to the direction of the business.

While it may be uncertain, a good plan at least makes it possible to rule out the "unlikely" or "infeasible" situation which might result from "seat-of-the-pants" guesses:

- Growing too fast to be supported by available funds
- Spending too much money to have any left over
- Selling more product than can be produced
- Producing more product than can be sold

So, I am going to talk about reality. Putting together a plan, what it means, and how the HP3000 can be used as an effective tool in getting the job done.

THE TOOLS: An HP3000 computer system, available for both batch and interactive use. Most of our customers use in-house (rather than dial-up) systems. They use both on-line CRT's and printing terminals, and most have access to a near-by line printer. The system is almost always accessible to the responsible manager, although some companies distribute only the reports and not the computer interaction as well. The system is used interactively for setting up reports and reviewing the results on a particular report. Reports are run in the batch mode for making multiple copies, generating a whole sequence of reports at once, and sometimes just for hard-copy output.

A high-level planning language. We work with our proprietary financial planning language, Dollar-Flow, and it will be used to illustrate the examples here. We choose to work in a "high-level language" like Dollar-Flow because:

- Planning by hand (and calculator), despite the flexibility it provides, takes too much time, involves too many opportunities for error, and is particularly undesirable when doing many iterations of a plan.
- Planning on a computer using a "procedure level" language like BASIC, FORTRAN, or COBOL takes too long to set up, is inflexible, and requires the services of a programmer.

Dollar-Flow, because it is designed for planning, enables us to focus on the problem itself, without paying particular regard to all the details of what is actually going on inside the computer.

THE PLAN: A typical financial plan might involve the following modules:

- A sales forecast
- Budgets and budget consolidations
- A profit/loss projection
- A cash flow projection
- A balance sheet projection

Most of the plans which we get involved with work on a three to five year horizon with (of course) particular attention being paid to the first year. For the first two years the plan usually is done by month, whereas the third through fifth year projections might be by quarter, by half, or on an annual basis.

SALES FORECAST: Preparing the sales forecast is the first step in profit planning. Today I am not going to go into the any techniques which can be used for forecasting. Rather, I am going to concentrate on the techniques we use for working with forecast figures which have been decided upon (in one way or another) by those responsible for the forecast. The sales forecast is the most important as well as the most uncertain part of the planning process. Since sales determine how much money is available for budgets, etc., the forecast is prepared first. And because of the uncertainty of our projections, we may re-run our plan several times with varying levels of sales as a "what-if" analysis. So we can do contingency planning.

A forecast for a product-oriented company is usually done first on a "bottoms-up" basis. That is to say, on a product-by-product basis. If we are building a plan with a three or five year horizon, the third through fifth years may only be overall sales dollars estimates. In the near term, however, the sales forecast is done by month for each product. In addition to the unit sales forecast, we need an average selling price on each product (which may vary over time), which we will show as one value here. And to enable us to generate figures for the cost of sales, we combine this data with estimates of direct labor per unit, direct material per unit, and manufacturing overhead (which may or may not be on a per-unit basis). The sales revenue and cost of sales forecast then is simply a product of the unit sales projections and the per unit price and cost factors. A typical product forecast might look like the following:

XYZ COMPANY
SALES FORECAST REPORT

	AVG SELLING PRICE	DIRECT LABOR/ UNIT	DIRECT MATL/ UNIT	MFG OVHD/ UNIT	
1 WIDGETS.....	900	85	200	255	
2 GIZMOS.....	1,300	155	275	465	
3 THINGS.....	1,950	175	375	525	
4 NON-THINGS.....	2,100	575	525	1,725	
5 ZITHERS.....	2,450	560	450	1,680	
	JAN UNITS	JAN REV	JAN DL	JAN DM	JAN OVHD
1 WIDGETS.....	100	90,000	8,500	20,000	25,500
2 GIZMOS.....	50	65,000	7,750	13,750	23,250
3 THINGS.....	-	-	-	-	-
4 NON-THINGS.....	25	52,500	14,375	13,125	43,125
5 ZITHERS.....	-	-	-	-	-
6 TOTAL ALL PRODUCTS.	175	207,500	30,625	46,875	91,875

Now, a top-down analysis may be done on the total sales figures in each period (or by year) and feedback on the unit forecasts if they are too high (or low).

In businesses which build product to inventory (where sales and production levels may be very different in a given period), a manufacturing plan is required in addition to the sales forecast. That is to say, once the sales forecast has been prepared, then the production planners must sit down and determine a production plan which will meet an objective level of delivery of product and reasonable levels of inventory, given the sales forecast. The production plan would be similar to the unit sales forecast - it is simply the units to be produced by period. The result of the production plan is actual outlays for direct material and direct labor (which go into inventory). Combined with the cost of sales (what comes out of inventory) this gives us the change in inventories. A typical production plan might look like the following:

12/23/79

XYZ COMPANY
PRODUCTION PLAN

PAGE

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MA
6 TOTAL SALES.....	207,500	207,500	207,500	467,500	461,00
7 TOTAL COGS LABOR.....	30,625	30,625	30,625	53,000	52,22
8 TOTAL COGS MATL.....	46,875	46,875	46,875	96,250	94,87
9 TOTAL COGS OVHD.....	91,875	91,875	91,875	159,000	156,67
10 TOTAL COGS.....	169,375	169,375	169,375	308,250	303,77
11 WIDGET UNIT PRODUCTION..	100	100	100	100	10
12 GIZMO UNIT PRODUCTION...	50	50	25	20	1
13 THING UNIT PRODUCTION...	-	50	100	150	15
14 NON-THING UNIT PRODUCTION.....	25	25	25	25	2
15 ZITHER UNIT PRODUCTION..	-	-	-	-	1
16 TOTAL PRODUCTION (AT ASP).....	207,500	305,000	370,000	461,000	472,50
17 TOTAL PROD LABOR.....	30,625	39,375	44,250	52,225	56,27
18 TOTAL PROD MATL.....	46,875	65,625	77,500	94,875	96,62
19 TOTAL PROD OVHD.....	91,875	118,125	132,750	156,675	168,82
20 TOTAL PROD LABOR,MATL,OVHD....	169,375	223,125	254,500	303,775	321,72
21 PROD MATL PURCHASES.....	77,500	94,875	96,625	131,625	130,25
22 INITIAL INVENTORY.....	125,000				
23 BEGINNING INVENTORY.....	125,000	155,625	238,625	342,875	375,15
24 INVENTORY CHANGE.....	30,625	83,000	104,250	32,275	51,57
25 ENDING INVENTORY.....	155,625	238,625	342,875	375,150	426,72

* END OF \$FLOW\$ REPORT *

Now, we are not finished with the forecast. One is never finished with the forecast. But with it set up in our planning system as we are doing here, when the forecast figures change, we can re-run our model and get a revised company plan with a minimum of effort.

The next step is budgeting (which may overlap with the forecasting step). The forecast is important to the budgeting step because it tells us how much is available to be spent in the budget. In other words, the budgets may need to be revised as the forecast changes.

A few comments about the typical budget environment.

- There is usually a budgeting hierarchy, where there are several levels of consolidation. Budgets may be grouped at sub-department, department, product line, division, or many other levels.
- In addition to the consolidation hierarchy, there may be service departments (or locations) which charge some costs out to other departments (data processing for example).

A typical budgeting hierarchy might have the following levels:

Overall company budgeted expenditures

Divisional consolidated budgets

Product line consolidated budgets

Departmental budgets

Location budgets (within a department)

Service location budgets

Now, the budget reports we usually set up identify each budgetary item by general ledger account number and description. For most of the items on the budget, the system prompts for a value to be input for each period on the budget (typically the periods are months) and allows for revisions to be made by row and/or column. Typical input lines are:

1001 WAGES & SALARIES
3001 SUPPLIES
5002 COMPANY AUTO
5003 ENTERTAINMENT

Some budget items are functions of other items. For example, fringe benefits may be set up as 20% of wages and salaries:

1002 FRINGE BENEFITS = .20 X '1001 WAGES & SALARIES' ;

The total budget may be calculated using the 'SUM' function of Dollar-Flow:

TOTAL BUDGET = SUM('1001 WAGES & SALARIES','9999 MISC EXPENSE');

And some budget items may use figures allocated from other budget locations:

3005 EDP EXPENSE =

'% EDP ALLOCATION' X 'TOTAL BUDGET' OF 'D9000'/100;

A typical budget plan might look like:

12/23/79	MARKETING BUDGET FY 1980				PAGE
	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY
1 1001 WAGES & SALARIES	5000	5000	5000	10000	10000
2 1002 FRINGE BENEFITS	1000	1000	1000	2000	2000
3 1003 PAID VACATION	100	100	100	200	200
4 1004 SICK PAY	150	150	150	300	300
5 3001 SUPPLIES	500	500	500	500	500
6 3005 EDP EXPENSES	800	800	800	1200	1200
7 5001 TRANSPORTATION FARES	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
8 5002 COMPANY AUTO	500	500	500	500	500
9 5003 ENTERTAINMENT	500	500	500	500	500
10 8001 ADVERTISING	1000	1000	5000	2000	2000
11 8002 PROMO LITERATURE	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500
12 8003 TRADE SHOWS	10000	-	-	20000	-
13 9999 MISC EXPENSE	500	500	500	500	500
14 TOTAL BUDGET	24550	14550	18550	42200	22200
15 % FRINGE	20.0 %				
16 % EDP ALLOCATION	8.0 %				

* END OF \$FLOW\$ REPORT *

By having allocated expenses defined within our planning system as automatically flowing into each of the using locations, it is easy for us to keep all of the budgets consistent. Whenever the budget for a service department (such as EDP) is revised, the new figures flow into the departments using their services the next time those budgets are run.

One more comment about the system we are using. Within Dollar-Flow, information is organized on a report basis. That is to say, users work with one report at a time and do not have to concern themselves with the distinctions between reports, files, programs, and data. And reports are saved on the HP3000 under 8 character MPE file names so they referenced by other reports and/or re-run at some later time.

We usually organize the budget reports according to the customer's own scheme for identifying budget locations, by saving these reports with meaningful names. For example, if the EDP department has a location code number of 9000, then we might save the budget for that department under the name 'D9000'. Sub-departments locations might be coded 'D9001', 'D9002', etc. A budget which is a consolidation of other departments might be stored with a descriptive name like 'D900' or 'D900X'. With a numbering scheme which groups various budgets into group names which can be accessed using @, #, and ? symbols (according to the MPE file referencing convention), indirect file references can be used to set up the structure of a budget hierarchy. In other words, we could define a consolidation report as summing 'D900#' which would automatically add up 'D9001' through 'D9009'. Budget consolidation reports usually have the same format as the lower-level reports they reference.

A few comments about the budgeting process. In our experience we have seen great diversity in how companies do their planning. Some companies centralize budget preparation. Distributing budget worksheets, then inputting the data and making revisions in one area. Other companies distribute the entire process, letting managers interact directly with the budget system, only processing the data when it is ready for consolidation. Some companies budget in great detail. Others take the "big picture" approach, working at higher levels of consolidation. The one thing that all of the companies which we have worked with have in common, is that the budgeting process involves many changes and several iterations. With several levels of consolidation, and allocations from several service departments, budgeting would be a massive job if it were done by hand. And a massive headache if it were done with the traditional programming approach in BASIC, FORTRAN, or COBOL.

Once the budgets have been prepared, we are ready to proceed with the profit/loss projection. With the information provided by the sales forecast and manufacturing plan, plus the departmental budgets, the P & L is little more than a recap of existing information. This is particularly easy in the system which we are using because we can 'define' relationships on our P & L report which will cause data to be read automatically from reports which have already been prepared. For example, we could read information from the manufacturing plan with rules like the following:

```
DIRECT LABOR = 'TOTAL COGS LABOR' OF 'MFGPLN' ;  
DIRECT MATL = 'TOTAL COGS MATL' OF 'MFGPLN' ;
```

where 'TOTAL COGS LABOR' and 'TOTAL COGS MATL' are lines on the production plan which has been set up and saved under the name 'MFGPLN'.

We can even reference several reports for a one-line consolidation:

MARKETING = 'TOTAL BUDGET' OF ('D2001','D2002',...,'D2009');

Of course the one-line consolidation shown here would only be necessary if we didn't have a consolidation report already set up for total marketing.

A few of the lines involve calculations with other lines on the P & L report itself:

TOTAL DIRECT COST = 'DIRECT LABOR' + 'DIRECT MATL' + 'MFG OVHD' ;
GROSS MARGIN = 'SALES' - 'TOTAL DIRECT COST' ;

And one line, 'INTEREST EXPENSE', is a forward reference to two other lines yet to be defined:

INTEREST EXPENSE = '% INTEREST' X 'OUTSTANDING DEBT' / 1200 ;

Now, the P & L projection (combined with the cash flow) is usually run as soon as the first pass forecast and budget reports are ready. The budgets and the forecast are then red-lined, and sent back for revision. The revisions may involve changes in budgeted expenditures, pricing (and volume) of products, or even timing of product introduction and related expenditures. The running of the P & L and the cash flow is then the "top-down" step in planning, where the forecasts and budgets are revised based on overall criteria.

A typical P & L projection might look like the following:

12/23/79

XYZ COMPANY
PROFIT/LOSS AND CASH FLOW PROJECTION

PAGE

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1 PROFIT/LOSS PROJECTION: =====					
2 SALES	207.5	207.5	207.5	467.5	461.0
3 DIRECT COSTS:					
4 DIRECT LABOR	30.6	30.6	30.6	53.0	52.2
5 DIRECT MATL	46.9	46.9	46.9	96.3	94.9
6 MFG OVHD	91.9	91.9	91.9	159.0	156.7
7 MFG OVHD VARIANCE	(1.9)	(28.1)	(42.8)	13.3	1.2
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
8 TOTAL DIRECT COST	167.5	141.3	126.6	321.6	305.0
9 GROSS MARGIN	40.0	66.3	80.9	145.9	156.1
10 ENGINEERING	25.0	25.0	25.0	35.0	35.0
11 MARKETING	24.6	14.6	18.6	42.2	22.2
12 GEN & ADMIN	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
13 TOTAL PERIOD EXPENSE	74.6	64.6	68.6	102.2	82.2
14 OPERATING PROFIT	(34.6)	1.7	12.3	43.7	73.9
15 INTEREST EXPENSE	.9	2.0	-	-	2.3
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
16 NET INCOME BEF TAX	(35.5)	(.3)	12.3	43.7	71.6
17 TAXES ON INCOME	-	-	-	9.8	34.4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
18 NET INCOME AFTER TAX	(35.5)	(.3)	12.3	34.0	37.2

For companies where cash flow is important (because they are growing rapidly, because margins are low, or a host of other reasons), the cash flow projection may be the most important part of the planning cycle.

Now, setting up a cash flow projection from the information we already have available requires little more than taking our P & L results and adjusting them for timing in the flow of funds. Let's start this with a very simplified rule of thumb. The difference between profit and cash flow is that:

PROFIT (like your salary) is what you pay taxes on, whereas CASH is what you have in the bank.

And we all know what a big difference that can be! Let's examine two important examples. If a company sends a shipment to a customer along with a bill for the goods, then the amount of the bill (less the cost of making the goods) is profit. However, all the company who shipped the product has at this point is a thing called "accounts receivable", or money owed to it by the customer which has not yet been paid. This becomes cash only when the customer pays his bill. Conversely, when a company takes delivery of goods on credit from a vendor, the amount owed to the vendor ("accounts payable") only becomes a cash outflow when the bill is paid. In both of these cases, cash will eventually change hands to offset accounts receivable and accounts payable. However, the timing makes a big difference in cash flow. And, keep in mind, running a business at a loss (although undesirable) is not nearly as serious as running out of money (and unable to borrow it somewhere)! If a company is growing fast, it is very easy to run out of cash even though the business is very profitable.

Enough of the generalities. Let's look at what we do to create our cash flow. First, the "aging" of accounts receivable, or reflecting how cash payment of accounts receivable is expected to occur over time. We usually calculate a 3 month moving average of sales, then use an estimate of the number of days of sales that will be in accounts receivable at one time. We set up this number of days sales figure as an easily revised input value so that the plan can be run at varying rates of receivables collection. Combining the average sales with the number of days sales which are in accounts receivable, we project the ending accounts receivable balance for each month. With this information, all we have to do is a calculation with the following rule to determine cash receipts:

RECEIPTS = 'BEGINNING A/R' + 'SALES' - 'ENDING A/R' ;

The report lines appear as follows:

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY
21 CASH FLOW PROJECTION:					
=====					
22 RECEIVABLES AGING:					

23 PRIOR 2 MOS SALES	180.0	190.0	-	-	-
24 3 MOS AVG SALES	192.5	201.7	207.5	294.2	378.7
25 # DAYS SALES IN A/R	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0
26 BEGINNING A/R	300.0	288.8	302.5	311.3	441.3
27 CHANGE IN A/R	(11.3)	13.8	8.8	130.0	126.8
28 ENDING A/R	288.8	302.5	311.3	441.3	568.0
43 RECEIPTS	218.8	193.8	198.8	337.5	334.3

For accounts payable, the process is a little more involved. We take the total budgeted expenditures for all of the operating departments and subtract out the payroll and depreciation expenses from them. We add into this direct material purchases and capital equipment purchases. This gives us the amount of accounts payable we have incurred. Then, we assume that all of our bills are paid in 30 days (or 1 period in the example here). So we just take the prior period's accounts payable to determine our payment of accounts payable in the current period. To handle the first period, we add a figure for accounts payable incurred in the preceding period. This analysis appears as follows:

29 PAYABLES AGING:

30 BUDGETED EXPENSES	164.6	154.6	158.6	272.2	252.2
31 PAYROLL	50.0	60.0	65.0	75.0	80.0
32 PAYROLL (EXCL D.L.)	19.4	20.6	20.8	22.8	23.7
33 DEPRECIATION	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
34 MATERIAL PURCHASES	77.5	94.9	96.6	131.6	130.3
35 CAPITAL EQUIPMENT PURCHASES	-	-	-	200.0	-
36 ACCTS PAYABLE INCURRED	215.7	221.8	227.4	574.1	351.7
37 PRIOR PERIOD A/P	190.0				
38 ACCTS PAYABLE PAID	190.0	215.7	221.8	227.4	574.1

For interest payments, we assume that they are paid in the periods that they are incurred. (We will discuss the interest calculation in somewhat greater detail in a moment.) And taxes are usually paid based on the results of the prior year. In the example here, we have assumed that no taxes were due in the preceding year.

Now, to calculate the cash flow in each period, all we do is to take the receipts and subtract the total of payroll, accounts payable paid, taxes paid, and interest paid. This gives us our net cash flow from operations in any given period.

42 CASH FLOW:

43 RECEIPTS	218.8	193.8	198.8	337.5	334.3
44 DISBURSEMENTS:					
45 PAYROLL PAID	50.0	60.0	65.0	75.0	80.0
46 ACCTS PAYABLE PAID	190.0	215.7	221.8	227.4	574.1
47 TAXES PAID	-	-	-	-	-
48 INTEREST PAID	.9	2.0	-	-	2.3
49 TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS	240.9	277.6	286.8	302.4	656.3
50 NET CASH FLOW	(22.2)	(83.9)	(88.1)	35.1	(322.1)

Next, we consider financing. As shown here, we have constructed a model which takes the beginning balance of cash in a given period and adds into it the changes in cash due to operations. Equity financing is then added into the cash balance. Then, we set up a rule for the line 'BORROWING (REPAYMENT)' which says "If the cash balance is less than zero, borrow enough money to bring the balance back to zero. If the cash balance is greater than zero, then pay off any existing debt with whatever cash is available." We then add this borrowing or repayment into our cash balance and we have ending cash for the period. The financing lines appear as follows:

51 BEGINNING CASH	50.0	-	-	105.9	141.0
52 CHANGES IN CASH	(22.2)	(83.9)	(88.1)	35.1	(322.1)
53 ENDING CASH	27.8	(83.9)	(88.1)	141.0	(181.1)
54 FINANCING	-	-	350.0	-	-
55 BORROWING (REPAYMENT)	(27.8)	83.9	(156.0)	-	181.1
56 ENDING CASH AFTER FINANCING	-	-	105.9	141.0	-
57 BEGINNING DEBT	100.0	72.2	156.0	-	-
58 OUTSTANDING DEBT	72.2	156.0	-	-	181.1
59 % INTEREST PAID	15.0 %	15.0 %	15.0 %	15.0 %	15.0 %

One last comment about the cash flow. The 'INTEREST EXPENSE' line on the P & L statement is calculated using the '% INTEREST PAID' and 'OUTSTANDING DEBT' lines on the cash flow. The system which we are using actually solves the circular logic involved in calculating interest, cash flow, and debt, so that these values are all mutually consistent.

The last step in our profit plan is the creation of a balance sheet. Similar to the P & L statement, the balance sheet is usually just a recap of the P & L and cash flow projection. I won't say much about the balance sheet, except to mention that we use it as a tool to make sure that our cash flow projections are working correctly. If our assets are equal to our liabilities (as they should be), then we can be pretty sure that we haven't made a mistake in the general logic of the cash flow (of course the values could still be wrong!). The balance sheet might appear as follows:

12/23/79

XYZ COMPANY
BALANCE SHEET PROJECTION

PAGE

	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY
1 ASSETS:						
2 CASH	50.0	-	-	105.9	141.0	-
3 ACCTS RECEIVABLE	300.0	288.8	302.5	311.3	441.3	568.0
4 INVENTORY (NET)	125.0	155.6	238.6	342.9	375.2	426.7
5 TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	475.0	444.4	541.1	760.0	957.4	994.7
6 PROP, PLANT & EQUIP	2255.0	2248.0	2241.0	2234.0	2427.0	2420.0
7 TOTAL ASSETS	2730.0	2692.4	2782.1	2994.0	3384.4	3414.7
8 LIABILITIES AND NET WORTH:						
9 ACCTS PAYABLE	190.0	215.7	221.8	227.4	574.1	351.7
10 TAXES PAYABLE	-	-	-	-	9.8	44.1
11 S.T. BANK LOAN	100.0	72.2	156.0	-	-	181.1
12 TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	290.0	287.8	377.8	227.4	583.8	576.9
13 L.T. BANK LOAN	900.0	900.0	900.0	900.0	900.0	900.0
14 TOTAL LIABILITIES	1190.0	1187.8	1277.8	1127.4	1483.8	1476.9
15 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:						
16 COMMON STOCK	2340.0	2340.0	2340.0	2690.0	2690.0	2690.0
17 RETAINED EARNINGS	(800.0)	(835.5)	(835.7)	(823.4)	(789.4)	(752.2)
18 TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	1540.0	1504.5	1504.3	1866.6	1900.6	1937.8
19 TOTAL LIABS & WORTH	2730.0	2692.4	2782.1	2994.0	3384.4	3414.7
20 ASSETS - LIABS	-	-	-	-	-	-

* END OF \$FLOW\$ REPORT *

This completes our profit plan. I want to emphasize here that the example we have used is not a fixed or canned example. Depending on the way in which our customers think of their projections, we may alter the rules for the P & L, cash flow, and balance sheet projections. And in most cases, the forecast reports and budgets are tailored to conform to the customer's own products and chart of accounts. The main idea here, however, is that we have a framework in which we set up analyses. Then, as required, we make changes taking advantage of the flexibility of the planning language which we are using.

Setting up a realistic plan is an excellent first step in managing a fast-moving business effectively, but it is only a beginning. What really counts is putting it into action. So, once a plan is established, then actual performance against it must be monitored. This can be done with a combination of budget variance reports, forecast versus actual sales reports, and comparisons of P & L, cash flow, and balance sheet position. In some cases, we set up variance reports within the Dollar-Flow system for this reporting. In other cases, we feed the projections which have been set up in Dollar-Flow into other reporting systems.

Let me summarize what we have covered here. Our overall company plan has encompassed a product-by-product forecast with a matching production plan to establish what we expect to produce and sell. We have established budgets which set the spending for various areas of responsibility in the company. And we have set up a profit/loss statement, cash flow projection, and balance sheet projection to examine the impact of the forecast and budgets, analyze alternative financing and management strategies, and to review the company operations on an overall basis.

I hope we have eliminated some of the mystery and myth which surrounds the concepts of financial planning and cash flow analysis. Building an effective profit plan for a company is not a trivial task, nor is it an impossible one. We feel that it is an excellent opportunity to use the computer as a tool, but at the same time is not a good data processing application. Because of the demands in planning for flexibility, immediate feedback, ease of use, and good documentation, it is simply too difficult to set up good models in languages like COBOL, BASIC, or FORTRAN. With a good financial planning language, however, the HP3000 can be a very powerful tool for budgeting and financial planning.

Thank you very much. Do you have any questions?

PRACTICAL INTERACTIVE SYSTEMS
FOR MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS
PLANNING

BY:

David Sohm

